arXiv:1606.05891v1 [math.AC] 19 Jun 2016

MULTIGRADED REGULARITY, REDUCTION VECTORS AND POSTULATION VECTORS

PARANGAMA SARKAR

Abstract. We relate the set of complete reduction vectors of a $\mathbb{Z}^s$-graded admissible filtration of ideals $\mathcal{F}$ with the set of multigraded regularities of $G(\mathcal{F})$. We prove $\operatorname{reg}(G(\mathcal{F})) = \operatorname{reg}(\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{F}))$. We establish a relation between the sets of complete reduction vectors of $\mathcal{F}$ and postulation vectors of $\mathcal{F}$ under some cohomological conditions.

1. introduction

Let $R = \bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{N}} R_n$ be a standard Noetherian $\mathbb{N}$-graded ring defined over a local ring $(R_0, \mathfrak{m})$ and $M = \bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} M_n$ be a finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}$-graded R-module. Let

$$a(M) = \begin{cases} \max\{n \mid M_n \neq 0\} & \text{if } M \neq 0 \\ -\infty & \text{if } M = 0. \end{cases}$$

The Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity of M is defined by

$$\operatorname{reg}(M) = \max\{a(H^i_{R_+}(M)) + i \mid i \geq 0\}.$$

The Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity is a fundamental invariant of a module or a sheaf which measures its complexity. A. Ooishi [13, Lemma 4.8], M. Johnson and B. Ulrich [5, Proposition 4.1] proved that $\operatorname{reg}(\mathcal{R}(I)) = \operatorname{reg}(G(I))$ for any ideal I in a Noetherian local ring $(R, \mathfrak{m})$. Later, observing the close relationships of the invariants $a(H^i_{\mathcal{R}(I)_+}(\mathcal{R}(I)))$ and $a(H^i_{G(I)_+}(G(I)))$, Trung [20, Corollary 3.3], proved the same result. He also showed that for an $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideal I in a Noetherian local ring of dimension $d \geq 1$ and a minimal reduction J of I,

$$a_d + d \leq r_J(I) \leq \{a_i + i : i = 0, \ldots, d\}$$

where $a_i = a(H^i_{G(I)_+}(G(I)))$ [19, Proposition 3.2]. Using this relation, in 1993, Marley [11, Corollary 2.2], proved that for an $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideal I in a Cohen-Macaulay local ring of dimension $d \geq 1$, if $\operatorname{depth} G(I) \geq d-1$ then $r(I) = n(I) + d = a_d + d$ where $n(I)$ is the postulation number of I and $r(I)$ is the reduction number of I.

In this context, it is natural to ask : What is an analogue of Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity in

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 13D45, 13A30 13D40, 13E05.

Keywords: multigraded filtrations, multigraded regularity, joint reductions, complete reductions, local cohomology modules, filter-regular sequence, multi-Rees algebra, associated multigraded ring, postulation vectors.

The author is supported by CSIR Fellowship of Government of India.

multigraded case and relation between multigraded regularity of multi-Rees algebra and associated multigraded rings.

The objective of this paper is to study multigraded regularity of blowup algebras, reduction vectors and postulation vectors of a $\mathbb{Z}^s$-graded admissible filtration of ideals. Among the themes presented are : (1) equality of the sets of multigraded regularities of two blowup algebras associated to a $\mathbb{Z}^s$-graded admissible filtration of ideals $\mathcal{F}$, (2) relation between the set of complete reduction vectors of $\mathcal{F}$ and the set of multigraded regularities of blowup algebras associated to it and (3) relation among complete reduction vectors and postulation vectors of $\mathcal{F}$ under some cohomological conditions.

First we set up notation and recall few definitions. Throughout this paper, $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ denotes a Noetherian local ring of dimension d with infinite residue field. Let $I_1, \ldots, I_s$ be $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideals of R. We denote the collection $(I_1, \ldots, I_s)$ by $\mathbf{I}$. For $s \geq 1$, we put $\mathbf{e} = (1, \ldots, 1)$, $\mathbf{0} = (0, \ldots, 0)$ and $\mathbf{e_i} = (0, \ldots, 1, \ldots, 0) \in \mathbb{Z}^s$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, s$ where 1 occurs at ith position. For $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, \ldots, n_s) \in \mathbb{Z}^s$, we write $\mathbf{I^n} = I_1^{n_1} \cdots I_s^{n_s}$ and $\mathbf{n}^+ = (n_1^+, \ldots, n_s^+)$ where $n_i^+ = \max\{0, n_i\}$. For $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_s) \in \mathbb{N}^s$, we put $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_s$. We define $\mathbf{m} \geq \mathbf{n}$ if $m_i \geq n_i$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, s$. By the phrase "for all large $\mathbf{n}$", we mean $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^s$ and $n_i \gg 0$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, s$. A set of ideals $\mathcal{F} = \{\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{n})\}_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^s}$ is called a $\mathbb{Z}^s$-graded $\mathbf{I}$-*filtration* if for all $\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^s$, (i) $\mathbf{I^n} \subseteq \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{n})$, (ii) $\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{n})\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{m}) \subseteq \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{m})$ and (iii) if $\mathbf{m} \geq \mathbf{n}$, $\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{m}) \subseteq \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{n})$.

Let $t_1, \ldots, t_s$ be indeterminates. For $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^s$, we put $\mathbf{t^n} = t_1^{n_1} \cdots t_s^{n_s}$ and denote the $\mathbb{N}^s$-graded *Rees ring of* $\mathcal{F}$ by $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{F}) = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^s} \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{n})\mathbf{t^n}$ and the $\mathbb{Z}^s$-graded *extended Rees ring of* $\mathcal{F}$ by $\mathcal{R}'(\mathcal{F}) = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^s} \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{n})\mathbf{t^n}$. For an $\mathbb{N}^s$-graded ring $S = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{n} \geq \mathbf{0}} S_{\mathbf{n}}$, we denote the ideal $\bigoplus_{\mathbf{n} \geq \mathbf{e}} S_{\mathbf{n}}$ by S_{++}. Let $G(\mathcal{F}) = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^s} \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{n})/\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{e})$ be the *associated multigraded ring of* $\mathcal{F}$ *with respect to* $\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{e})$. For $\mathcal{F} = \{\mathbf{I^n}\}_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^s}$, we set $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{I})$, $\mathcal{R}'(\mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{R}'(\mathbf{I})$, $G(\mathcal{F}) = G(\mathbf{I})$ and $\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{I})_{++} = \mathcal{R}_{++}$. A $\mathbb{Z}^s$-graded $\mathbf{I}$-filtration $\mathcal{F} = \{\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{n})\}_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^s}$ of ideals in R is called an $\mathbf{I}$-*admissible filtration* if $\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{n}) = \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{n}^+)$ for all $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^s$ and $\mathcal{R}'(\mathcal{F})$ is a finite $\mathcal{R}'(\mathbf{I})$ -module. For an $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideal I in a Noetherian local ring $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ of dimension d, P. Samuel [16] showed that for all large n, the *Hilbert-Samuel function of* I, $H_I(n) = \lambda(R/I^n)$ coincides with a polynomial

$$P_I(n) = e_0(I)\binom{n+d-1}{d} - e_1(I)\binom{n+d-2}{d-1} + \cdots + (-1)^d e_d(I)$$

of degree d, called the *Hilbert-Samuel polynomial of* I. The coefficients $e_i(I)$ for $i = 0, 1, \ldots, d$ are integers, known as Hilbert coefficients of I. The coefficient $e(I) := e_0(I)$ is called the *multiplicity of* I. Let $s \geq 2$, $I_1, \ldots, I_s$ be $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideals and $\mathcal{F}$ be a $\mathbb{Z}^s$-graded $\mathbf{I}$-admissible filtrations of ideals in a Noetherian local ring $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ of dimension d. For the *Hilbert function of* $\mathcal{F}$, $H_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbf{n}) = \lambda(R/\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{n}))$, D. Rees [15] proved that there exists a polynomial of total degree d, called the *Hilbert polynomial of* $\mathcal{F}$, of the form

$$P_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbf{n}) = \sum_{\substack{\alpha=(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_s)\in\mathbb{N}^s \\ |\alpha|\leq d}} (-1)^{d-|\alpha|} e_\alpha(\mathcal{F}) \binom{n_1+\alpha_1-1}{\alpha_1} \cdots \binom{n_s+\alpha_s-1}{\alpha_s}$$

such that $P_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbf{n}) = H_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbf{n})$ for all large $\mathbf{n}$. Here $e_\alpha(\mathcal{F})$ are integers called the Hilbert coefficients of $\mathcal{F}$. Rees [15] showed that $e_\alpha(\mathcal{F}) > 0$ for $|\alpha| = d$. These are called the *mixed multiplicities of* $\mathcal{F}$. B. Teissier [18] and P. B. Bhattacharya [1] showed existence of this polynomial for the filtrations $\{\mathbf{I^n}\}_{\mathbf{n}\in\mathbb{Z}^s}$ and $\{\mathbf{I^n}\}_{\mathbf{n}\in\mathbb{Z}^2}$ respectively.

Let I be an $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideal in a Noetherian local ring $(R,\mathfrak{m})$. An integer $n(\mathcal{I})$ is called the *postulation number of a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded I-admissible filtration* $\mathcal{I} = \{I_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ if $P_{\mathcal{I}}(n) = H_{\mathcal{I}}(n)$ for all $n > n(\mathcal{I})$ and $P_{\mathcal{I}}(n(\mathcal{I})) \neq H_{\mathcal{I}}(n(\mathcal{I}))$. Let $\mathcal{F} = \{\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{n})\}_{\mathbf{n}\in\mathbb{Z}^s}$ be an $\mathbf{I}$-admissible filtration of ideals in a Noetherian local ring $(R,\mathfrak{m})$ and $s \geq 2$. A vector $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^s$ is called a *postulation vector of* $\mathcal{F}$ if $H_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbf{m}) = P_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbf{m})$ for all $\mathbf{m} \geq \mathbf{n}$. We use the notation $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{F})$ to denote the set of all postulation vectors of $\mathcal{F}$.

D. Maclagan and G. Smith [7] have developed a multigraded variant of Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity in terms of vanishing of mutigraded components of local cohomology modules. Let $R = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{n}\in\mathbb{N}^s} R_{\mathbf{n}}$ be a standard Noetherian $\mathbb{N}^s$-graded ring defined over a local ring $(R_{\mathbf{0}},\mathfrak{m})$. Let $M = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{n}\in\mathbb{Z}^s} M_{\mathbf{n}}$ be a finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}^s$-graded R-module. Let $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^s$. We say M *is* $\mathbf{m}$*-regular* if for all $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^s$,

(1) $\left[H^0_{R_{++}}(M)\right]_{\mathbf{n+m+e_j}} = 0$ for all $j = 1,\ldots,s$,

(2) $\left[H^i_{R_{++}}(M)\right]_{\mathbf{n+m-p}} = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$ and $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{N}^s$ such that $|\mathbf{p}| = i-1$.

We set $\operatorname{reg}(M) := \{\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^s \mid M \text{ is } \mathbf{m}\text{-regular}\}$. Note that if $s = 1$ then the minimum of $\operatorname{reg}(M)$ coincides with Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity of M. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we say M *is* $\mathbf{m}$*-regular from level* k if M is $\mathbf{m}$-regular when $k = 0$ otherwise $[H^i_{R_{++}}(M)]_{\mathbf{n+m-p}} = 0$ for all $i \geq k$ and $\mathbf{n},\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{N}^s$ such that $|\mathbf{p}| = i-1$. We set $\operatorname{reg}^k(M) := \{\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^s \mid M \text{ is } \mathbf{m}\text{-regular from level } k\}$.

A *reduction* of a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded I-admissible filtration $\mathcal{I}$ is an ideal $J \subseteq I_1$ such that $JI_n = I_{n+1}$ for all large n. A *minimal reduction* of $\mathcal{I}$ is a reduction of $\mathcal{I}$ minimal with respect to inclusion. For a minimal reduction J of $\mathcal{I}$, the integer $r_J(\mathcal{I}) = \min\{m \in \mathbb{N} \mid JI_n = I_{n+1} \text{ for } n \geq m\}$ is called the *reduction number of* $\mathcal{I}$ *with respect to* J and the integer $r(\mathcal{I}) = \min\{r_J(\mathcal{I}) : J \text{ is a minimal reduction of } \mathcal{I}\}$ is called the *reduction number of* $\mathcal{I}$. For a sequence of $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideals $\mathbf{I}$, Rees [15] introduced the concept of complete reductions and joint reductions to study the multigraded Hilbert function $H_{\mathbf{I}}(\mathbf{n}) = \lambda(R/\mathbf{I^n})$. D. Kirby and Rees [6] generalized it further in the setting of multigraded rings and modules.

Let $\mathcal{F} = \{\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{n})\}_{\mathbf{n}\in\mathbb{Z}^s}$ be a $\mathbb{Z}^s$-graded $\mathbf{I}$-admissible filtration of ideals in $(R,\mathfrak{m})$ and $d \geq 1$. A set of elements $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}} = \{x_{ij} \in I_i \mid j = 1,\ldots,d; i = 1,\ldots,s\}$ is called a *complete reduction of* $\mathcal{F}$ if for all $\mathbf{n} \geq \mathbf{m}$ for some $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{N}^s$, $(y_1,\ldots,y_d)\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{n}) = \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{e})$ where $y_j = x_{1j}\cdots x_{sj}$ for all $j = 1,\ldots,d$. A vector $\mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{N}^s$ is called a *complete reduction vector of* $\mathcal{F}$ *with respect to* $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}}$ if for all $\mathbf{n} \geq \mathbf{r}$, $(y_1,\ldots,y_d)\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{n}) = \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{e})$. We use the notation $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}}}(\mathcal{F})$ to denote the set of all complete reduction vectors of $\mathcal{F}$ with respect to the complete reduction $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}}$.

Let $\mathbf{q} = (q_1,\ldots,q_s) \in \mathbb{N}^s$ and $|\mathbf{q}| = d$. A set of elements $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}(\mathcal{F}) = \{a_{ij} \in I_i \mid j = 1,\ldots,q_i; i = 1,\ldots,s\}$ is called a *joint reduction of* $\mathcal{F}$ *of type* $\mathbf{q}$ if for all $\mathbf{n} \geq \mathbf{m}$ for some $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{N}^s$, $\sum_{i=1}^{s}\sum_{j=1}^{q_i} a_{ij}\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{e_i}) = \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{n})$. A vector $\mathbf{m}$ is called a *joint reduction vector of* $\mathcal{F}$ *with respect to the joint reduction* $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}(\mathcal{F})$ if the above equality holds for all $\mathbf{n} \geq \mathbf{m}$.

In order to detect reduction vectors of multigraded admissible filtrations, we use the theory of filter-regular sequences for multigraded modules. Let $M = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{n}\in\mathbb{Z}^s} M_{\mathbf{n}}$ be a finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}^s$-graded R-module where $R = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{n}\in\mathbb{N}^s} R_{\mathbf{n}}$ is a standard Noetherian $\mathbb{N}^s$-graded ring defined over a local ring $(R_{\mathbf{0}}, \mathfrak{m})$. A homogeneous element $a \in R$ is called an *M-filter-regular* if $(0 :_M a)_{\mathbf{n}} = 0$ for all large $\mathbf{n}$. Let $a_1, \ldots, a_r \in R$ be homogeneous elements. Then $a_1, \ldots, a_r$ is called an *M-filter-regular sequence* if a_i is $M/(a_1, \ldots, a_{i-1})M$-filter-regular for all $i = 1, \ldots, r$.

The paper is organized in the following way. In section 2, we discuss some preliminary results required in the following section. In section 3, we show that $\operatorname{reg}(G(\mathcal{F})) \subseteq \mathbb{N}^s$ in which the following result plays a crucial role.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local ring of dimension $d \geq 1$ with infinite residue field and $I_1, \ldots, I_s$ be $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideals in R. Let $\mathcal{F} = \{\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{n})\}_{\mathbf{n}\in\mathbb{Z}^s}$ be an $\mathbf{I}$-admissible filtration of ideals in R and $\mathbf{m} \in \operatorname{reg}(G(\mathcal{F}))$. Then for any $\mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{N}^s$ with $|\mathbf{q}| = d$ and $A = \{i \mid q_i \geq 1\}$, there exists a joint reduction $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}(\mathcal{F}) = \{a_{ij} \in I_i : j = 1, \ldots, q_i; i = 1, \ldots, s\}$ of $\mathcal{F}$ of type $\mathbf{q}$ such that $\mathbf{m} + \sum_{i\in A} \mathbf{e_i}$ is a joint reduction vector of $\mathcal{F}$ with respect to $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}(\mathcal{F})$, i.e. for all $\mathbf{n} \geq \mathbf{m} + \sum_{i\in A} \mathbf{e_i}$,*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{s}\sum_{j=1}^{q_i} a_{ij}\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{n} - \mathbf{e_i}) = \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{n}).$$

We generalize the equality $\operatorname{reg}(\mathcal{R}(I)) = \operatorname{reg}(G(I))$ for $\mathbb{Z}^s$-graded admissible filtrations $\mathcal{F}$.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local ring of dimension $d \geq 1$ with infinite residue field and $I_1, \ldots, I_s$ be $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideals in R. Let $\mathcal{F} = \{\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{n})\}_{\mathbf{n}\in\mathbb{Z}^s}$ be an $\mathbf{I}$-admissible filtration of ideals in R. Then $\operatorname{reg}(\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{F})) = \operatorname{reg}(G(\mathcal{F}))$.*

We show that in a Noetherian local ring of dimension $d \geq 1$, for any complete reduction $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}} = \{a_{ij} \in I_i : j = 1, \ldots, d; i = 1, \ldots, s\}$ of $\mathcal{F}$ with $y_j = a_{1j} \cdots a_{sj}$ for all $j = 1, \ldots, d$, there exist $f_1, \ldots, f_d \in G(\mathbf{I})_{\mathbf{e}}$ such that $f_1, \ldots, f_d$ is an $G(\mathcal{F})$-filter-regular sequence and $(y_1^*, \ldots, y_d^*) = (f_1, \ldots, f_d)G(\mathbf{I})$ where $y_j^* = y_j + \mathbf{I}^{\mathbf{2e}} \in G(\mathbf{I})_{\mathbf{e}}$ for all $j = 1, \ldots, d$ and using this we prove the following result.

Theorem 1.3. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local ring of dimension $d \geq 1$ with infinite residue field and $I_1, \ldots, I_s$ be $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideals in R with $\operatorname{grade}(I_1 \cdots I_s) \geq 1$. Let $\mathcal{F} = \{\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{n})\}_{\mathbf{n}\in\mathbb{Z}^s}$ be an $\mathbf{I}$-admissible filtration of ideals in R and $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}} = \{a_{ij} \in I_i : j = 1, \ldots, d; i = 1, \ldots, s\}$ be any complete reduction of $\mathcal{F}$. Let $H^i_{\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{I})_{++}}(\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{F}))_{\mathbf{n}} = 0$ for all $0 \leq i \leq d-1$ and $\mathbf{n} \geq \mathbf{0}$. Then*

1. *for all $\mathbf{r} \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}}}(\mathcal{F})$ with $\mathbf{r} \geq (d-1)\mathbf{e}$, we have $\mathbf{r} - (d-1)\mathbf{e} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{F})$,*
2. *if $s \geq 2$, for all $\mathbf{n} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{F})$, we have $\mathbf{n} + (d-1)\mathbf{e} \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}}}(\mathcal{F})$.*

In particular, for $s \geq 2$, there exists a one-to-one correspondence

$$f : \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{F}) \longleftrightarrow \{\mathbf{r} \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}}}(\mathcal{F}) \mid \mathbf{r} \geq (d-1)\mathbf{e}\}$$

defined by $f(\mathbf{n}) = \mathbf{n} + (d-1)\mathbf{e}$ where $f^{-1}(\mathbf{r}) = \mathbf{r} - (d-1)\mathbf{e}$.

Acknowledgement: The author would like to thank Prof. Jugal K. Verma for his encouragement and insightful conversations.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let $R = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{n}\in\mathbb{N}^s} R_{\mathbf{n}}$ be a standard Noetherian $\mathbb{N}^s$-graded ring defined over an Artinian local ring $(R_{\mathbf{0}}, \mathfrak{m})$. Let $M = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{n}\in\mathbb{N}^s} M_{\mathbf{n}}$ be a finitely generated $\mathbb{N}^s$-graded R-module. Let $\text{Proj}^s(R)$ denote the set of all homogeneous prime ideals P in R such that $R_{++} \nsubseteq P$. By [4, Theorem 4.1], there exists a numerical polynomial $P_M \in \mathbb{Q}[X_1, \ldots, X_s]$ of total degree $d = \dim Supp_{++}(M)$ of the form

$$P_M(\mathbf{n}) = \sum_{\substack{\alpha=(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_s)\in\mathbb{N}^s \\ |\alpha|\leq d}} (-1)^{d-|\alpha|} e_\alpha(M) \binom{n_1+\alpha_1-1}{\alpha_1} \cdots \binom{n_s+\alpha_s-1}{\alpha_s}$$

such that $e_\alpha(M) \in \mathbb{Z}$, $P_M(\mathbf{n}) = \lambda_{R_{\mathbf{0}}}(M_{\mathbf{n}})$ for all large $\mathbf{n}$ and $e_\alpha(M) \geq 0$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^s$ such that $|\alpha| = d$.

Let $R^\Delta = \bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{N}} R_{n\mathbf{e}}$ and $M^\Delta = \bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{N}} M_{n\mathbf{e}}$. Then M^Δ is an $\mathbb{N}$-graded R^Δ-module. Since for all large $\mathbf{n}$,

$$P_M(n\mathbf{e}) = \lambda_{R_{\mathbf{0}}}(M_{n\mathbf{e}}) = \lambda_{R_{\mathbf{0}}}((M^\Delta)_n),$$

there exists a polynomial $P_{M^\Delta}(X) \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$ such that $P_{M^\Delta}(n) = P_M(n\mathbf{e})$ for all n and $\deg P_{M^\Delta}(X) =$ total degree of $P_M(X_1, \ldots, X_s)$.

Lemma 2.1. $\deg P_{M^\Delta}(X) \geq 0$ *if and only if* $H^0_{R_{++}}(M) \neq M$.

Proof. Let $\{m_1, \ldots, m_r\}$ be a generating set of M as R-module and $\deg m_i = d_i = (d_{i1}, \ldots, d_{is})$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, r$. Let $a = \max\{|d_{ij}| : i = 1, \ldots, r; j = 1, \ldots, s\}$. Then for all $\mathbf{n} \geq a\mathbf{e}$,

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\mathbf{n}-a\mathbf{e}}M_{a\mathbf{e}} \subseteq M_{\mathbf{n}} &\subseteq R_{\mathbf{n}-d_1}M_{d_1} + \cdots + R_{\mathbf{n}-d_r}M_{d_r} \\ &= R_{\mathbf{n}-a\mathbf{e}}R_{a\mathbf{e}-d_1}M_{d_1} + \cdots + R_{\mathbf{n}-a\mathbf{e}}R_{a\mathbf{e}-d_r}M_{d_r} \subseteq R_{\mathbf{n}-a\mathbf{e}}M_{a\mathbf{e}}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\deg P_{M^\Delta}(X) \geq 0$. Suppose $H^0_{R_{++}}(M) = M$. Since M is finitely generated, there exists an integer $k \geq 1$ such that $R^k_{++}M = 0$. Thus for all $\mathbf{n} \geq (a+k)\mathbf{e}$, $M_{\mathbf{n}} = R_{\mathbf{n}-a\mathbf{e}}M_{a\mathbf{e}} = 0$. This contradicts that $\deg P_{M^\Delta}(X) \geq 0$.

Conversely, suppose $H^0_{R_{++}}(M) \neq M$. If $\deg P_{M^\Delta}(X) = -1$ then $M_{n\mathbf{e}} = 0$ for all large n, say for all $n \geq k \geq 1$. Let $m \in M_{\mathbf{m}}$ and $t \geq \max\{k, a\}$. Then

$$R^t_{++}m \subseteq M_{\mathbf{m}+t\mathbf{e}} = R_{\mathbf{m}+t\mathbf{e}-a\mathbf{e}}M_{a\mathbf{e}} \subseteq R_{\mathbf{m}}M_{t\mathbf{e}} = 0$$

contradicts that $H^0_{R_{++}}(M) \neq M$. □

Remark 2.2. Let $(R,\mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local ring of dimension $d\geq 1$ with infinite residue field k and $I_1,\ldots,I_s$ be $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideals in R. Let $\mathcal{F}=\{\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{n})\}_{\mathbf{n}\in\mathbb{Z}^s}$ be an $\mathbf{I}$-admissible filtration of ideals in R. Therefore by [12, Proposition 2.5], $G(\mathcal{F})$ is finitely generated $G(\mathbf{I})$-module. Now for all large $\mathbf{n}$,

$$\lambda\left(\frac{\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{n})}{\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{e})}\right)=\lambda_R\left(\frac{R}{\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{e})}\right)-\lambda_R\left(\frac{R}{\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{n})}\right)=P_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{e})-P_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbf{n})$$

is a numerical polynomial in $\mathbb{Q}[X_1,\ldots,X_s]$ of total degree $d-1$ for all large $\mathbf{n}$ and $e_\beta(G(\mathcal{F}))>0$ for all $\beta\in\mathbb{N}^s$ where $|\beta|=d-1$ for $s\geq 2$ and $e_0(G(\mathcal{F}))>0$ when $s=1$. Since $\{\mathcal{F}(n\mathbf{e})\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded $\{\mathbf{I}^{n\mathbf{e}}\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$-admissible filtration, $\dim G(\mathcal{F})^{\Delta}=\deg P_{G(\mathcal{F})^{\Delta}}(X)+1=d$.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $R=\bigoplus_{\mathbf{n}\in\mathbb{N}^s}R_{\mathbf{n}}$ be a standard Noetherian $\mathbb{N}^s$-graded ring defined over an Artinian local ring $(R_{\mathbf{0}},\mathfrak{m})$. Let $M=\bigoplus_{\mathbf{n}\in\mathbb{N}^s}M_{\mathbf{n}}$ be a finitely generated $\mathbb{N}^s$-graded R-module and $e_\alpha(M)>0$ for all $\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^s$ with $|\alpha|=\deg P_{M^{\Delta}}(X)$. Let $f\in R_{\mathbf{e}}$ be an M-filter-regular element. Then $e_\beta(M/fM)>0$ for all $\beta\in\mathbb{N}^s$ with $|\beta|=\deg P_{(M/fM)^{\Delta}}(X)$ and $\deg P_{(M/fM)^{\Delta}}(X)=\deg P_{M^{\Delta}}(X)-1$.*

Proof. Consider the exact sequence of R-modules

$$0\longrightarrow(0:_M f)_{\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{e}}\longrightarrow M_{\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{e}}\xrightarrow{f}M_{\mathbf{n}}\longrightarrow\frac{M_{\mathbf{n}}}{fM_{\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{e}}}\longrightarrow 0.$$

Since f is M-filter-regular, for all large $\mathbf{n}$, we get

$$\lambda_{R_{\mathbf{0}}}\left(\frac{M_{\mathbf{n}}}{fM_{\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{e}}}\right)=\lambda_{R_{\mathbf{0}}}\left(M_{\mathbf{n}}\right)-\lambda_{R_{\mathbf{0}}}\left(M_{\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{e}}\right)$$

and hence for all $\mathbf{n}\in\mathbb{Z}^s$, $P_{M/fM}(\mathbf{n})=P_M(\mathbf{n})-P_M(\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{e})$. Therefore for all $\beta\in\mathbb{N}^s$ with $|\beta|=\deg P_{(M/fM)^{\Delta}}(X)$, $e_\beta(M/fM)=e_{\alpha_1}(M)+\ldots+e_{\alpha_s}(M)>0$ where $\alpha_i=\beta+\mathbf{e_i}$ for all $i=1,\ldots,s$. □

Lemma 2.4. *Let $R=\bigoplus_{\mathbf{n}\in\mathbb{N}^s}R_{\mathbf{n}}$ be a $\mathbb{N}^s$-graded Noetherian ring defined over a local ring $(R_{\mathbf{0}},\mathfrak{m})$ and $M=\bigoplus_{\mathbf{n}\in\mathbb{N}^s}M_{\mathbf{n}}$ be a finitely generated $\mathbb{N}^s$-graded R-module. Let $a\in R_{\mathbf{m}}$ be an M-filter-regular where $\mathbf{m}\neq\mathbf{0}$. Then for all $\mathbf{n}\in\mathbb{Z}^s$ and $i\geq 0$, the following sequence is exact*

$$[H^i_{R_{++}}(M)]_{\mathbf{n}}\longrightarrow\left[H^i_{R_{++}}\left(\frac{M}{(aM)}\right)\right]_{\mathbf{n}}\longrightarrow[H^{i+1}_{R_{++}}(M)]_{\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{m}}.$$

Proof. Consider the following short exact sequence of R-modules

$$0\longrightarrow(0:_M a)\longrightarrow M\longrightarrow\frac{M}{(0:_M a)}\longrightarrow 0.$$

Since a is M-filter-regular, $(0:_M a)$ is R_{++}-torsion. Hence

$$H^i_{R_{++}}(M)\simeq H^i_{R_{++}}\left(\frac{M}{(0:_M a)}\right)\text{ for all }i\geq 1.$$

Therefore the short exact sequence of R-modules

$$0\longrightarrow\frac{M}{(0:_M a)}(-\mathbf{m})\xrightarrow{a}M\longrightarrow\frac{M}{aM}\longrightarrow 0$$

gives the desired exact sequence. □

Lemma 2.5. *Let $R = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{n}\in\mathbb{N}^s} R_{\mathbf{n}}$ be a $\mathbb{N}^s$-graded Noetherian ring defined over a local ring $(R_{\mathbf{0}}, \mathfrak{m})$ and $M = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{n}\in\mathbb{N}^s} M_{\mathbf{n}}$ be a finitely generated $\mathbb{N}^s$-graded R-module. Let $H^i_{R_{++}}(M)_{\mathbf{n}} = 0$ for all $i \geq 0$ and $\mathbf{n} \geq \mathbf{m}$. Let $a_1, \ldots, a_t \in R_{\mathbf{e}}$ be an M-filter-regular sequence. Then $H^i_{R_{++}}(M/(a_1, \ldots, a_t)M)_{\mathbf{n}} = 0$ for all $i \geq 0$ and $\mathbf{n} \geq \mathbf{m} + t\mathbf{e}$.*

Proof. We use induction on t. Let $t = 1$. By Lemma 2.4, for all $i \geq 0$, we get the exact sequence

$$[H^i_{R_{++}}(M)]_{\mathbf{n}} \longrightarrow \left[H^i_{R_{++}}\left(\frac{M}{a_1 M}\right)\right]_{\mathbf{n}} \longrightarrow [H^{i+1}_{R_{++}}(M)]_{\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{e}}.$$

Since for all $i \geq 0$ and $\mathbf{n} \geq \mathbf{m}$, $[H^i_{R_{++}}(R)]_{\mathbf{n}} = 0$, we get $\left[H^i_{R_{++}}\left(\frac{M}{a_1 M}\right)\right]_{\mathbf{n}} = 0$ for all $i \geq 0$ and $\mathbf{n} \geq \mathbf{m} + \mathbf{e}$. Hence the result is true for $l = 1$.
Suppose $t \geq 2$ and the result is true upto $t-1$. Let $M' = M/(a_1, \ldots, a_{l-1})M$. Then

$$[H^i_{R_{++}}(M')]_{\mathbf{n}} = 0 \text{ for all } i \geq 0 \text{ and } \mathbf{n} \geq \mathbf{m} + (t-1)\mathbf{e}.$$

Since a_t is M'-filter-regular, using $t = 1$ case, we get $\left[H^i_{R_{++}}\left(\frac{M'}{a_t M'}\right)\right]_{\mathbf{n}} = 0$ for all $i \geq 0$ and $\mathbf{n} \geq \mathbf{m} + t\mathbf{e}$. □

Lemma 2.6. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local ring of dimension $d \geq 1$ with infinite residue field and $I_1, \ldots, I_s$ be $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideals in R. Let $\mathcal{F} = \{\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{n})\}_{\mathbf{n}\in\mathbb{Z}^s}$ be an $\mathbf{I}$-admissible filtration of ideals in R. Let $y_1, \ldots, y_t \in \mathbf{I}^{\mathbf{e}}$ and $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{N}^s$ such that $\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{n}) = (y_1, \ldots, y_t)\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{e}) + \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{e})$ for all $\mathbf{n} \geq \mathbf{m}$. Then $\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{n}) = (y_1, \ldots, y_t)\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{e})$ for all $\mathbf{n} \geq \mathbf{m}$.*

Proof. Since $\mathcal{F}$ is an $\mathbf{I}$-admissible filtration, by [12, Proposition 2.4], for each $i = 1, \ldots, s$, there exists an integer r_i such that for all $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^s$, where $n_i \geq r_i$, $\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{e_i}) = I_i\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{n})$. Let $r = \max\{r_1, \ldots, r_s\}$. For all $\mathbf{n} \geq \mathbf{m}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{n}) &= (y_1, \ldots, y_t)\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{e}) + \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{e}) \\
&\subseteq (y_1, \ldots, y_t)\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{e}) + (y_1, \ldots, y_t)\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{n}) + \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{n}+2\mathbf{e}) \\
&\subseteq \vdots \\
&\subseteq (y_1, \ldots, y_t)\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{e}) + \ldots + (y_1, \ldots, y_t)\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{n}+r\mathbf{e}) + \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{n}+(r+1)\mathbf{e}) \\
&\subseteq (y_1, \ldots, y_t)\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{e}) + \mathbf{I}^{\mathbf{e}}\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{n}+r\mathbf{e}) \subseteq (y_1, \ldots, y_t)\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{e}) + \mathfrak{m}\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{n}).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus by Nakayama's Lemma, we get the required result. □

Proposition 2.7. *Let $R = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{n}\in\mathbb{N}^s} R_{\mathbf{n}}$ be a standard $\mathbb{N}^s$-graded Noetherian ring defined over a local ring $(R_{\mathbf{0}}, \mathfrak{m})$ and $M = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{n}\in\mathbb{Z}^s} M_{\mathbf{n}}$ be a finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}^s$-graded R-module. Let $a_1, \ldots, a_l \in R_{e_j}$ is a M filter-regular sequence. Suppose for all $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^s$, $\left[H^i_{R_{++}}(M)\right]_{\mathbf{m}+\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{p}} = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$ and $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{N}^s$ such*

that $|\mathbf{p}| = i-1$. *Then for all* $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^s$, $\left[H^i_{R_{++}}(M/(a_1,\ldots,a_l)M)\right]_{\mathbf{m+n-p}} = 0$ *for all* $i \geq 1$ *and* $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{N}^s$ *such that* $|\mathbf{p}| = i-1$.

Proof. We prove it using induction on l. Let $l = 1$. By Lemma 2.4, for all $i \geq 1$ and $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^s$, we have the following exact sequence

$$[H^i_{R_{++}}(M)]_{\mathbf{n}} \longrightarrow \left[H^i_{R_{++}}\left(\frac{M}{(a_1M)}\right)\right]_{\mathbf{n}} \longrightarrow [H^{i+1}_{R_{++}}(M)]_{\mathbf{n-e_j}}.$$

Let $i \geq 1$ and $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{N}^s$ such that $|\mathbf{p}| = i-1$. Since $|\mathbf{e_j} + \mathbf{p}| = i$, we have $\left[H^{i+1}_{R_{++}}(M)\right]_{\mathbf{m+n-e_j-p}} = 0$ for all $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^s$. Thus we get $\left[H^i_{R_{++}}(M/a_1M)\right]_{\mathbf{m+n-p}} = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$ and $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{N}^s$ such that $|\mathbf{p}| = i-1$. Let $l \geq 2$, $N = M/a_1,\ldots,a_{l-1})M$ and $\left[H^i_{R_{++}}(N)\right]_{\mathbf{m+n-p}} = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$ and $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{N}^s$ such that $|\mathbf{p}| = i-1$. Since a_l is N-filter-regular element, the result follows from $l = 1$ case. □

3. MULTIGRADED REGULARITY, REDUCTION VECTORS AND POSTULATION VECTORS

In this section we prove that for a $\mathbb{Z}^s$-graded $\mathbf{I}$-admissible filtrations of ideals,

$$\operatorname{reg}(\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{F})) = \operatorname{reg}(G(\mathcal{F})) \subseteq \mathbb{N}^s,$$

which generalizes a result of Trung [20, Corollary 3.3], Ooishi [13, Lemma 4.8], Johnson and Ulrich [5, Proposition 4.1]. We show that if $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}} = \{a_{ij} \in I_i : j = 1,\ldots,d; i = 1,\ldots,s\}$ is any complete reduction of a $\mathbb{Z}^s$-graded $\mathbf{I}$-admissible filtration of ideals in R then there exist $f_1,\ldots,f_d \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbf{e}}$ such that $f_1,\ldots,f_d$ is an $G(\mathcal{F})$-filter-regular sequence and $(y^*_1,\ldots,y^*_d) = (f_1,\ldots,f_d)G(\mathbf{I})$. We provide relations among complete reduction vectors and $\operatorname{reg}(G(\mathcal{F}))$. We conclude this section by establishing a relationship between reduction vectors with respect to complete reductions and postulation vectors of admissible multigraded filtrations of ideals in Cohen-Macaulay local rings of dimension $d \geq 1$ under some cohomological conditions. This generalizes results of Sally [17, Proposition 3], Ooishi [13, Proposition 4.10], and Marley [11, Corollary 2.2], [9, Theorem 2], [10, Corollary 3.8].

Theorem 3.1. *Let* $(R,\mathfrak{m})$ *be a Noetherian local ring of dimension* $d \geq 1$ *with infinite residue field and* $I_1,\ldots,I_s$ *be* $\mathfrak{m}$*-primary ideals in* R. *Let* $\mathcal{F} = \{\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{n})\}_{\mathbf{n}\in\mathbb{Z}^s}$ *be an* $\mathbf{I}$*-admissible filtration of ideals in* R *and* $\mathbf{m} \in \operatorname{reg}(G(\mathcal{F}))$. *Then for any* $\mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{N}^s$ *with* $|\mathbf{q}| = d$ *and* $A = \{i \mid q_i \geq 1\}$, *there exists a joint reduction* $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}(\mathcal{F}) = \{a_{ij} \in I_i : j = 1,\ldots,q_i; i = 1,\ldots,s\}$ *of* $\mathcal{F}$ *of type* $\mathbf{q}$ *such that* $\mathbf{m} + \sum_{i\in A} \mathbf{e_i}$ *is a joint reduction vector of* $\mathcal{F}$ *with respect to* $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}(\mathcal{F})$, *i.e. for all* $\mathbf{n} \geq \mathbf{m} + \sum_{i\in A} \mathbf{e_i}$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{s}\sum_{j=1}^{q_i} a_{ij}\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{n} - \mathbf{e_i}) = \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{n}).$$

Proof. By [8, Theorem 2.3], there exists a joint reduction $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}(\mathcal{F}) = \{a_{ij} \in I_i : j = 1,\ldots,q_i; i = 1,\ldots,s\}$ of $\mathcal{F}$ of type $\mathbf{q}$ such that $a^*_{11},\ldots,a^*_{1q_1},\ldots,a^*_{s1},\ldots,a^*_{sq_s}$ is a $G(\mathcal{F})$-filter-regular sequence

where a_{ij}^* is the image of a_{ij} in $G(\mathbf{I})_{\mathbf{e_i}}$ for all $j = 1, \ldots, q_i$ and $i = 1, \ldots, s$. Since $\mathbf{m} \in \operatorname{reg}(G(\mathcal{F}))$, using Lemma 2.4 and Proposition 2.7 for q_i times for each i, we get

$$\left[H^0_{G(\mathbf{I})_{++}}\left(\frac{G(\mathcal{F})}{(a_{11}^*, \ldots, a_{1q_1}^*, \ldots, a_{s1}^*, \ldots, a_{sq_s}^*)G(\mathcal{F})}\right)\right]_{\mathbf{n}} = 0$$

for all $\mathbf{n} \geq \mathbf{m} + \sum_{i \in A} \mathbf{e_i}$. Now $\mathcal{F}$ is an $\mathbf{I}$-admissible filtration. Hence by [12, Proposition 2.4], for each $i = 1, \ldots, s$, there exists an integer r_i such that for all $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^s$, where $n_i \geq r_i$, $\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{e_i}) = I_i\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{n})$. Let $r = \max\{r_1, \ldots, r_s\}$. Since $G(\mathcal{F})/(a_{11}^*, \ldots, a_{1q_1}^*, \ldots, a_{s1}^*, \ldots, a_{sq_s}^*)G(\mathcal{F})$ is $G(\mathbf{I})_{++}$-torsion, we get that for all $\mathbf{n} \geq \mathbf{m} + \sum_{i \in A} \mathbf{e_i}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{n}) &= \sum_{i=1}^{s}\sum_{j=1}^{q_i} a_{ij}\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{n} - \mathbf{e_i}) + \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{e}) \subseteq \sum_{i=1}^{s}\sum_{j=1}^{q_i} a_{ij}\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{n} - \mathbf{e_i}) + \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{n} + 2\mathbf{e}) \\
&\subseteq \ \vdots \\
&\subseteq \sum_{i=1}^{s}\sum_{j=1}^{q_i} a_{ij}\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{n} - \mathbf{e_i}) + \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{n} + (r+1)\mathbf{e}) \subseteq \sum_{i=1}^{s}\sum_{j=1}^{q_i} a_{ij}\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{n} - \mathbf{e_i}) + \mathbf{I}^{\mathbf{e}}\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{n}).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore by Nakayama's Lemma, we get the required result. □

Theorem 3.2. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local ring of dimension $d \geq 1$ with infinite residue field and $I_1, \ldots, I_s$ be $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideals in R. Let $\mathcal{F} = \{\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{n})\}_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^s}$ be an $\mathbf{I}$-admissible filtration of ideals in R. Then* $\operatorname{reg}(G(\mathcal{F}) \subseteq \mathbb{N}^s$.

Proof. Suppose there exists $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^s \setminus \mathbb{N}^s$ and $\mathbf{m} \in \operatorname{reg}(G(\mathcal{F}))$. Then there exists atleast one $i \in \{1, \ldots, s\}$ such that $m_i < 0$. Without loss of generality assume $i = 1$. By Theorem 3.1, there exists a joint reduction $\{a_j \in I_1 : j = 1, \ldots, d\}$ of $\mathcal{F}$ whose image in $G(\mathbf{I})_{\mathbf{e_1}}$ is a $G(\mathcal{F})$-filter-regular sequence. Thus by Theorem 3.1, for all $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^s$, we have

$$\left(\frac{G(\mathcal{F})}{(a_1^*, \ldots, a_d^*)G(\mathcal{F})}\right)_{\mathbf{m}+\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{e_1}} = 0.$$

Now $\mathcal{F}$ is an $\mathbf{I}$-admissible filtration. Hence by [12, Proposition 2.4], for each $i = 1, \ldots, s$, there exists an integer r_i such that for all $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^s$, where $n_i \geq r_i$, $\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{e_i}) = I_i\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{n})$. Let $r = \max\{r_1, \ldots, r_s\}$. Define $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, \ldots, k_s)$ such that

$$k_j = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } m_j \leq 0 \\ m_j & m_j \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

Note that $\mathbf{k} \geq \mathbf{m} + \mathbf{e_1}$. Thus for all $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^s$, we have $\left(\frac{G(\mathcal{F})}{(a_1^*, \ldots, a_d^*)G(\mathcal{F})}\right)_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{n}} = 0$. Since $k_1 = 0$, we have $\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{k}) = \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{e})$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{e}) &= \sum_{j=1}^{d} a_j \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{e}-\mathbf{e_1}) + \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{k}+2\mathbf{e}) \subseteq \sum_{j=1}^{d} a_j \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{e}-\mathbf{e_1}) + \sum_{j=1}^{d} a_j \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{k}+2\mathbf{e}-\mathbf{e_1}) + \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{k}+3\mathbf{e}) \\
&\subseteq \\
&\vdots \\
&\subseteq \sum_{j=1}^{d} a_j \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{e}-\mathbf{e_1}) + \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{k}+(r+1)\mathbf{e}) \subseteq \sum_{j=1}^{d} a_j \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{e}-\mathbf{e_1}) + \mathbf{I}^{\mathbf{e}}\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{k}) \subseteq \mathfrak{m}\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{k}).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus by Nakayama's Lemma, $\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{k}) = 0$ which is a contradiction. Hence $\operatorname{reg}(G(\mathcal{F})) \subseteq \mathbb{N}^s$. □

We recall the following result.

Proposition 3.3. [12, Proposition 4.2] *Let S' be a $\mathbb{Z}^s$-graded ring and $S = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{n}\in\mathbb{N}^s} S'_{\mathbf{n}}$. Then $H^i_{S_{++}}(S') \cong H^i_{S_{++}}(S)$ for all $i > 1$ and the sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow H^0_{S_{++}}(S) \longrightarrow H^0_{S_{++}}(S') \longrightarrow \frac{S'}{S} \longrightarrow H^1_{S_{++}}(S) \longrightarrow H^1_{S_{++}}(S') \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact.

Theorem 3.4. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local ring of dimension $d \geq 1$ with infinite residue field and $I_1, \ldots, I_s$ be $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideals in R. Let $\mathcal{F} = \{\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{n})\}_{\mathbf{n}\in\mathbb{Z}^s}$ be an $\mathbf{I}$-admissible filtration of ideals in R. Then* $\operatorname{reg}(\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{F})) = \operatorname{reg}(G(\mathcal{F})$.

Proof. First we prove that $\operatorname{reg}(G(\mathcal{F})) \subseteq \operatorname{reg}(\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{F}))$. Consider the short exact sequence of $\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{I})$-modules

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}'(\mathcal{F})(\mathbf{e}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}'(\mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow G'(\mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow 0$$

which induces the long exact sequence of R-modules

$$\cdots \longrightarrow [H^i_{\mathcal{R}_{++}}(\mathcal{R}'(\mathcal{F}))]_{\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{e}} \longrightarrow [H^i_{\mathcal{R}_{++}}(\mathcal{R}'(\mathcal{F}))]_{\mathbf{n}} \longrightarrow [H^i_{\mathcal{R}_{++}}(G'(\mathcal{F}))]_{\mathbf{n}} \longrightarrow \cdots .$$

By Proposition 3.3, $H^i_{\mathcal{R}_{++}}(\mathcal{R}'(\mathcal{F})) = H^i_{\mathcal{R}_{++}}(\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{F}))$, $H^i_{\mathcal{R}_{++}}(\mathcal{G}'(\mathcal{F})) = H^i_{\mathcal{R}_{++}}(\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{F}))$ for all $i \geq 2$ and $[H^i_{\mathcal{R}_{++}}(\mathcal{R}'(\mathcal{F}))]_{\mathbf{n}} = [H^i_{\mathcal{R}_{++}}(\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{F}))]_{\mathbf{n}}$, $[H^i_{\mathcal{R}_{++}}(\mathcal{G}'(\mathcal{F}))]_{\mathbf{n}} = [H^i_{\mathcal{R}_{++}}(\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{F}))]_{\mathbf{n}}$ for all $i = 0, 1$ and $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^s$. Since by Theorem 3.2, $\operatorname{reg}(G(\mathcal{F})) \subseteq \mathbb{N}^s$, we get $\operatorname{reg}(G(\mathcal{F})) \subseteq \operatorname{reg}(\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{F}))$.
Conversely, suppose $\mathbf{m} \in \operatorname{reg}(\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{F}))$. Let N be the $\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{I})$-submodule of $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{F})$ such that

$$N_{\mathbf{k}} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k_j \leq 1 \text{ for atleast one } j \in \{1, \ldots, s\} \\ \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{F})_{\mathbf{k}} & \mathbf{k} \geq \mathbf{e}. \end{cases}$$

Consider the short exact sequence of $\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{I})$-modules

$$0 \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow C = \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{F})/N \longrightarrow 0$$

which induces a long exact sequence of modules

$$\cdots \longrightarrow [H^i_{\mathcal{R}_{++}}(N)]_{\mathbf{n}} \longrightarrow [H^i_{\mathcal{R}_{++}}(\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{F}))]_{\mathbf{n}} \longrightarrow [H^i_{\mathcal{R}_{++}}(C)]_{\mathbf{n}} \longrightarrow \cdots .$$

Since C is $\mathcal{R}_{++}$-torsion, we have $H^i_{\mathcal{R}_{++}}(\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{F})) = H^i_{\mathcal{R}_{++}}(N)$ for all $i \geq 2$ and

$$0 \longrightarrow [H^0_{\mathcal{R}_{++}}(N)] \longrightarrow [H^0_{\mathcal{R}_{++}}(\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{F}))] \longrightarrow C \longrightarrow [H^1_{\mathcal{R}_{++}}(N)] \longrightarrow [H^1_{\mathcal{R}_{++}}(\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{F}))] \longrightarrow 0 \qquad (3.4.1)$$

is exact. Now consider the short exact sequence of $\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{I})$-modules

$$0 \longrightarrow N(\mathbf{e}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow G(\mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow 0$$

which induces a long exact sequence of modules

$$\cdots \longrightarrow [H^i_{\mathcal{R}_{++}}(N)]_{\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{e}} \longrightarrow [H^i_{\mathcal{R}_{++}}(\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{F}))]_{\mathbf{n}} \longrightarrow [H^i_{\mathcal{R}_{++}}(G(\mathcal{F}))]_{\mathbf{n}} \longrightarrow [H^{i+1}_{\mathcal{R}_{++}}(N)]_{\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{e}} \longrightarrow \cdots .$$

For all $i \geq 1$,

$$[H^i_{\mathcal{R}_{++}}(\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{F}))]_{\mathbf{n}} \longrightarrow [H^i_{\mathcal{R}_{++}}(G(\mathcal{F}))]_{\mathbf{n}} \longrightarrow [H^{i+1}_{\mathcal{R}_{++}}(\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{F}))]_{\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{e}}$$

is exact. Therefore for all $i \geq 1$, $[H^i_{\mathcal{R}_{++}}(G(\mathcal{F}))]_{\mathbf{m}+\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{p}} = 0$ for all $\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{N}^s$ with $|\mathbf{p}| = i-1$.
Consider the exact sequence

$$[H^0_{\mathcal{R}_{++}}(\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{F}))]_{\mathbf{n}} \longrightarrow [H^0_{\mathcal{R}_{++}}(G(\mathcal{F}))]_{\mathbf{n}} \longrightarrow [H^1_{\mathcal{R}_{++}}(N)]_{\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{e}} \longrightarrow [H^1_{\mathcal{R}_{++}}(\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{F}))]_{\mathbf{n}}. \qquad (3.4.2)$$

Fix $j \in \{1, \ldots, s\}$. Then $[H^0_{\mathcal{R}_{++}}(\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{F}))]_{\mathbf{m}+\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{e_j}} = 0 = [H^1_{\mathcal{R}_{++}}(\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{F}))]_{\mathbf{m}+\mathbf{n}}$ for all $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^s$. Fix $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^s$.

Case 1: Let $\mathbf{m}+\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{e_j} \in \mathbb{Z}^s \setminus \mathbb{N}^s$. Since $H^0_{\mathcal{R}_{++}}(G(\mathcal{F})) \subseteq G(\mathcal{F})$, we have $[H^0_{\mathcal{R}_{++}}(G(\mathcal{F}))]_{\mathbf{m}+\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{e_j}} = 0$.

Case 2: Let $\mathbf{m}+\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{e_j} \in \mathbb{N}^s$. Then $\mathbf{m}+\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{e}+\mathbf{e_j} \geq \mathbf{e}$. Therefore from the exact sequence (3.4.1), we have

$$[H^1_{\mathcal{R}_{++}}(N)]_{\mathbf{m}+\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{e}+\mathbf{e_j}} = C_{\mathbf{m}+\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{e}+\mathbf{e_j}} = 0.$$

Hence from the exact sequence (3.4.2), $[H^0_{\mathcal{R}_{++}}(G(\mathcal{F}))]_{\mathbf{m}+\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{e_j}} = 0$. Thus $\operatorname{reg}(\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{F})) \subseteq \operatorname{reg}(G(\mathcal{F}))$. □

Theorem 3.5. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local ring of dimension $d \geq 1$ with infinite residue field k and $I_1, \ldots, I_s$ be $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideals in R with $\operatorname{grade}(I_1 \cdots I_s) \geq 1$. Let $\mathcal{F} = \{\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{n})\}_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^s}$ be an $\mathbf{I}$-admissible filtration of ideals in R. Let $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}} = \{a_{ij} \in I_i : j = 1, \ldots, d; i = 1, \ldots, s\}$ be a complete reduction of $\mathcal{F}$ and $y_j = a_{1j} \cdots a_{sj}$ for all $j = 1, \ldots, d$. Let $\mathfrak{a}$ denote the ideal $(y_1^*, \ldots, y_d^*)$ in $G(\mathbf{I})$ where $y_j^* = y_j + \mathbf{I}^{2\mathbf{e}}$ for all $j = 1, \ldots, d$. Then there exist $f_1, \ldots, f_d \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbf{e}}$ such that $f_1, \ldots, f_d$ is an $G(\mathcal{F})$-filter-regular sequence and $(y_1^*, \ldots, y_d^*) = (f_1, \ldots, f_d)G(\mathbf{I})$.*

Proof. Since $\mathcal{F}$ is $\mathbf{I}$-admissible filtration of ideals in R, $G(\mathcal{F})$ is finitely generated $G(\mathbf{I})$-module. First we prove that if $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}}$ is a complete reduction of $\mathcal{F}$ then $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbf{n}} = G(\mathbf{I})_{\mathbf{n}}$ for all large $\mathbf{n}$. For a complete reduction $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}}$ of $\mathcal{F}$, we have $(y_1, \ldots, y_d)\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{n}) = \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{e})$ for all large $\mathbf{n}$. Since $\mathcal{F}$ is an $\mathbf{I}$-admissible

filtration, by [12, Proposition 2.4], for each $i = 1, \ldots, s$, there exists an integer r_i such that for all $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^s$, where $n_i \geq r_i$, $\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{e_i}) = I_i\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{n})$. Thus for all large $\mathbf{n}$, we get

$$(y_1, \ldots, y_d)\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{n}) = \mathbf{I^e}\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{n}).$$

Therefore by [15, Lemma 1.5], we get $(y_1, \ldots, y_d)$ is a reduction of $\mathbf{I^e}$ and hence $(y_1^*, \ldots, y_d^*)_\mathbf{n} = G(\mathbf{I})_\mathbf{n}$ for all large $\mathbf{n}$.

Denote $G(\mathcal{F})$ by M and $M/H^0_{G(\mathbf{I})_{++}}(M)$ by M'. Then $Ass(M') = Ass(M)\backslash V(G(\mathbf{I})_{++})$. Let $Ass(M') = \{P_1, \ldots, P_k\}$. Since $\sqrt{\mathfrak{a}} = \sqrt{G(\mathbf{I})_{++}}$, for all $j = 1, \ldots, k$, $P_j \not\supseteq \mathfrak{a}$. Consider the k-vector space $\mathfrak{a}_\mathbf{e}/\mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{a}_\mathbf{e}$. Then for each $j = 1, \ldots, k$,

$$(P_j \cap \mathfrak{a}_\mathbf{e} + \mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{a}_\mathbf{e})/\mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{a}_\mathbf{e} \neq \mathfrak{a}_\mathbf{e}/\mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{a}_\mathbf{e}.$$

Since k is infinite, there exists $f_1 \in \mathfrak{a}_\mathbf{e} \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^k (P_j \cap \mathfrak{a}_\mathbf{e} + \mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{a}_\mathbf{e})$.

By [12, Proposition 4.1], there exists $\mathbf{m}$ such that $[H^0_{G(\mathbf{I})_{++}}(M)]_\mathbf{n} = 0$ for all $\mathbf{n} \geq \mathbf{m}$. Let $\mathbf{n} \geq \mathbf{m}$ and $x \in (0 :_M f_1)_\mathbf{n}$. Then $f_1x' = 0$ in M' where x' is the image of x in M'. Since f_1 is a nonzerodivisor of M', $x \in [H^0_{G(\mathbf{I})_{++}}(M)]_\mathbf{n} = 0$. Hence f_1 is M-filter-regular and its image in the k-vector space $\mathfrak{a}_\mathbf{e}/\mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{a}_\mathbf{e}$ is linearly independent.

If $d = 1$ then we are done. Suppose $d \geq 2$. Then by above procedure we can choose $f_1 \in \mathfrak{a}_\mathbf{e}$. Since k is infinite, by Nakayama's Lemma and Lemma 2.3, for each $i = 2, \ldots, d$, there exists an element

$$f_i \in \mathfrak{a}_\mathbf{e} \setminus \left(\left(\mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{a} + (\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} f_j G(\mathbf{I})) \right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{P \in Ass(M/(\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} f_j)M)\backslash V(G(\mathbf{I})_{++})} P \right) \right).$$

Hence $f_1, \ldots, f_d$ is an M-filter-regular sequence and images of $f_1, \ldots, f_d$ in $\mathfrak{a}_\mathbf{e}/\mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{a}_\mathbf{e}$ are k-linearly independent. Since $\mathfrak{a}$ is generated by elements of degree $\mathbf{e}$, we get $\mathfrak{a} = (f_1, \ldots, f_d)G(\mathbf{I})$. □

Theorem 3.6. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local ring of dimension $d \geq 1$ with infinite residue field and $I_1, \ldots, I_s$ be $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideals in R with* grade$(I_1 \cdots I_s) \geq 1$. *Let $\mathcal{F} = \{\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{n})\}_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^s}$ be an $\mathbf{I}$-admissible filtration of ideals in R and $\mathcal{A} = \{x_{ij} \in I_i : j = 1, \ldots, d; i = 1, \ldots, s\}$ be a complete reduction of $\mathcal{F}$. Then*

1. *for all $\mathbf{m} \in \text{reg}(G(\mathcal{F}))$, we have $\mathbf{m} + (d-1)\mathbf{e} \in \mathcal{R}_\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{F})$,*
2. *Let $\mathbf{r} \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{A}_\mathcal{F}}(\mathcal{F})$. Then for all $\mathbf{n} \geq \mathbf{r} - (d-1)\mathbf{e}$, $H^d_{G(\mathcal{F})_{++}}(G(\mathcal{F}))_\mathbf{n} = 0$ and hence $\mathbf{r} \in \text{reg}^d(G(\mathcal{F}))$.*

Proof. (1) By Theorem 3.5, there exist $f_1 \ldots, f_d \in G(\mathbf{I})_\mathbf{e}$ such that $(y_1^*, \ldots, y_d^*) = (f_1, \ldots, f_d)G(\mathbf{I})$ where $y_j^* = y_j + \mathbf{I^{2e}}$ is the image of y_j in $G(\mathbf{I})_\mathbf{e}$ for all $j = 1, \ldots, d$. Now by Lemma 2.4, for all $i \geq 0$ and $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^s$, we have the short exact sequence

$$[H^i_{G(\mathbf{I})_{++}}(G(\mathcal{F}))]_\mathbf{n} \longrightarrow \left[H^i_{G(\mathbf{I})_{++}} \left(\frac{G(\mathcal{F})}{(f_1 G(\mathcal{F}))} \right) \right]_\mathbf{n} \longrightarrow [H^{i+1}_{R_{++}}(G(\mathcal{F}))]_{\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{e}}.$$

Since $\mathbf{m} \in \operatorname{reg}(G(\mathcal{F}))$, we get $\left[H^i_{G(\mathbf{I})_{++}}(G(\mathcal{F})/f_1G(\mathcal{F}))\right]_{\mathbf{n}} = 0$ for all $\mathbf{n} \geq \mathbf{m}+\mathbf{e}$. Now $f_2, \ldots, f_d$ is $G(\mathcal{F})/f_1G(\mathcal{F})$-filter regular sequence. Hence by Lemma 2.5, for all $\mathbf{n} \geq \mathbf{m}+d\mathbf{e}$, we get

$$\left[H^0_{G(\mathbf{I})_{++}}(G(\mathcal{F})/(f_1, \ldots, f_d)G(\mathcal{F}))\right]_{\mathbf{n}} = 0.$$

Since $G(\mathcal{F})/(y_1^*, \ldots, y_d^*)G(\mathcal{F})$ is $G(\mathbf{I})_{++}$-torsion,for all $\mathbf{n} \geq \mathbf{m}+d\mathbf{e}$, we have

$$(G(\mathcal{F})/(y_1^*, \ldots, y_d^*)G(\mathcal{F}))_{\mathbf{n}} = 0,$$

i.e.

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{n}) = (y_1, \ldots, y_d)\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{e}) + \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{e}).$$

Thus by Lemma 2.6, we get the require result.
(2) Let $\mathbf{r} \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{A}_\mathcal{F}}(\mathcal{F})$. Then for all $\mathbf{n} \geq \mathbf{r}+\mathbf{e}$, $(G(\mathcal{F})/(y_1^*, \ldots, y_d^*)G(\mathcal{F}))_{\mathbf{n}} = 0$. Since $G(\mathcal{F})/(y_1^*, \ldots, y_d^*)G(\mathcal{F})$ is $G(\mathcal{F})_{++}$-torsion, for all $\mathbf{n} \geq \mathbf{r}+\mathbf{e}$, we have

$$H^0_{G(\mathcal{F})_{++}}(G(\mathcal{F})/(f_1, \ldots, f_d)G(\mathcal{F}))_{\mathbf{n}} = H^0_{G(\mathcal{F})_{++}}(G(\mathcal{F})/(y_1^*, \ldots, y_d^*)G(\mathcal{F}))_{\mathbf{n}} = 0.$$

Since $f_1, \ldots, f_d$ is $G(\mathcal{F})$-filter-regular sequence, by Lemma 2.4, for all $i = 1 \ldots, d$, we get the following exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned}\left[H^{d-i}_{G(\mathcal{F})_{++}}\left(\frac{G(\mathcal{F})}{(f_1, \ldots, f_i)G(\mathcal{F})}\right)\right]_{\mathbf{n}} &\longrightarrow \left[H^{d-(i-1)}_{G(\mathcal{F})_{++}}\left(\frac{G(\mathcal{F})}{(f_1, \ldots, f_{i-1})G(\mathcal{F})}\right)\right]_{\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{e}} \\ &\longrightarrow \left[H^{d-(i-1)}_{G(\mathcal{F})_{++}}\left(\frac{G(\mathcal{F})}{(f_1, \ldots, f_{i-1})G(\mathcal{F})}\right)\right]_{\mathbf{n}}\end{aligned}$$

where $f_0 = 0$. Therefore we get $H^d_{G(\mathcal{F})_{++}}(G(\mathcal{F}))_{\mathbf{n}} = 0$ for all $\mathbf{n} \geq \mathbf{r}-(d-1)\mathbf{e}$. □

Proposition 3.7. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local ring of dimension $d \geq 1$ with infinite residue field and $I_1, \ldots, I_s$ be $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideals in R and $s \geq 2$. Let $\mathcal{F} = \{\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{n})\}_{\mathbf{n}\in\mathbb{Z}^s}$ be an $\mathbf{I}$-admissible filtration of ideals in R. Then $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{F}) \subseteq \mathbb{N}^s$.*

Proof. Suppose $\mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{F})$ such that $m_i < 0$ for some $i \in \{1, \ldots, s\}$. Let $j \in \{1, \ldots, s\}$ such that $j \neq i$. Without loss of generality $j < i$. Consider the polynomial

$$Q(X) = P_\mathcal{F}(m_1, \ldots, m_{j-1}, X, m_{j+1}, \ldots, m_i+1, \ldots, m_s) - P_\mathcal{F}(m_1, \ldots, m_{j-1}, X, m_{j+1}, \ldots, m_i, \ldots, m_s).$$

Then for any $n \geq m_j$, by definition of admissible filtration of ideals, we get

$$\begin{aligned}Q(n) &= P_\mathcal{F}(m_1, \ldots, m_{j-1}, n, m_{j+1}, \ldots, m_i+1, \ldots, m_s) - P_\mathcal{F}(m_1, \ldots, m_{j-1}, n, m_{j+1}, \ldots, m_i, \ldots, m_s) \\ &= H_\mathcal{F}(m_1, \ldots, m_{j-1}, n, m_{j+1}, \ldots, m_i+1, \ldots, m_s) - H_\mathcal{F}(m_1, \ldots, m_{j-1}, n, m_{j+1}, \ldots, m_i, \ldots, m_s) \\ &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore $Q(X) = 0$. Hence $e_{\mathbf{e_i}+(d-1)\mathbf{e_j}}(\mathcal{F}) = 0$ which is a contradiction. □

We recall the following result.

Theorem 3.8. [12, Theorem 4.3] *Let $(R,\mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local ring of dimension d and $I_1,\dots,I_s$ be $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideals of R. Let $\mathcal{F}=\{\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{n})\}_{\mathbf{n}\in\mathbb{Z}^s}$ be an $\mathbf{I}$-admissible filtration of ideals in R. Then*

(1) $\lambda_R[H^i_{\mathcal{R}_{++}}(\mathcal{R}'(\mathcal{F}))]_{\mathbf{n}}<\infty$ *for all* $i\geq 0$ *and* $\mathbf{n}\in\mathbb{Z}^s$.
(2) $P_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbf{n})-H_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbf{n})=\sum_{i\geq 0}(-1)^i\lambda_R[H^i_{\mathcal{R}_{++}}(\mathcal{R}'(\mathcal{F}))]_{\mathbf{n}}$ *for all* $\mathbf{n}\in\mathbb{Z}^s$.

Theorem 3.9. *Let $(R,\mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local ring of dimension $d\geq 1$ with infinite residue field and $I_1,\dots,I_s$ be $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideals in R with* $\operatorname{grade}(I_1\cdots I_s)\geq 1$. *Let $\mathcal{F}=\{\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{n})\}_{\mathbf{n}\in\mathbb{Z}^s}$ be an $\mathbf{I}$-admissible filtration of ideals in R and $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}}=\{a_{ij}\in I_i : j=1,\dots,d; i=1,\dots,s\}$ be any complete reduction of $\mathcal{F}$. Let $H^i_{\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{I})_{++}}(\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{F}))_{\mathbf{n}}=0$ for all $0\leq i\leq d-1$ and $\mathbf{n}\geq\mathbf{0}$. Then*

(1) for all $\mathbf{r}\in\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}}}(\mathcal{F})$ with $\mathbf{r}\geq(d-1)\mathbf{e}$, we have $\mathbf{r}-(d-1)\mathbf{e}\in\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{F})$,
(2) if $s\geq 2$, for all $\mathbf{n}\in\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{F})$, we have $\mathbf{n}+(d-1)\mathbf{e}\in\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}}}(\mathcal{F})$.

In particular, for $s\geq 2$, there exists a one-to-one correspondence

$$f:\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{F})\longleftrightarrow\{\mathbf{r}\in\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}}}(\mathcal{F})\mid\mathbf{r}\geq(d-1)\mathbf{e}\}$$

defined by $f(\mathbf{n})=\mathbf{n}+(d-1)\mathbf{e}$ where $f^{-1}(\mathbf{r})=\mathbf{r}-(d-1)\mathbf{e}$.

Proof. Consider the short exact sequence of $\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{I})$-modules

$$0\longrightarrow\mathcal{R}'(\mathcal{F})(\mathbf{e})\longrightarrow\mathcal{R}'(\mathcal{F})\longrightarrow G'(\mathcal{F})\longrightarrow 0.$$

This induces the long exact sequence of R-modules

$$\cdots\longrightarrow[H^i_{\mathcal{R}_{++}}(\mathcal{R}'(\mathcal{F}))]_{\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{e}}\longrightarrow[H^i_{\mathcal{R}_{++}}(\mathcal{R}'(\mathcal{F}))]_{\mathbf{n}}\longrightarrow[H^i_{\mathcal{R}_{++}}(G'(\mathcal{F}))]_{\mathbf{n}}\longrightarrow[H^{i+1}_{\mathcal{R}_{++}}(\mathcal{R}'(\mathcal{F}))]_{\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{e}}\longrightarrow\cdots. \quad (3.9.1)$$

(1) Let $\mathbf{r}\in\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}}}(\mathcal{F})$ with $\mathbf{r}\geq(d-1)\mathbf{e}$. Therefore by Theorem 3.6, we get $H^d_{G(\mathcal{F})_{++}}(G(\mathcal{F}))_{\mathbf{n}}=0$ for all $\mathbf{n}\geq\mathbf{r}-(d-1)\mathbf{e}$. Thus from the long exact sequence (3.9.1), using Proposition 3.3 and the change of ring principle, we have $[H^d_{\mathcal{R}_{++}}(\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{F}))]_{\mathbf{n}}=0$ for all $\mathbf{n}\geq\mathbf{r}-(d-1)\mathbf{e}$. Therefore again using the Difference Formula (Theorem 3.8), we get $P_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbf{n})=H_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbf{n})$ for all $\mathbf{n}\geq\mathbf{r}-(d-1)\mathbf{e}$.
(2) Let $\mathbf{m}\in\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{F})$. Then $\mathbf{m}\geq\mathbf{0}$ and for all $\mathbf{n}\geq\mathbf{m}$, by Proposition 3.3, the Difference Formula (Theorem 3.8) and the change of ring principle, we have

$$0=P_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbf{n})-H_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbf{n})=(-1)^d\lambda_R[H^d_{\mathcal{R}_{++}}(\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{F}))]_{\mathbf{n}}.$$

Therefore by Proposition 3.3 and the change of ring principle, we get that $H^i_{G(\mathcal{F})_{++}}(G(\mathcal{F}))_{\mathbf{n}}=0$ for all $i\geq 0$ and $\mathbf{n}\geq\mathbf{m}$. For all $j=1,\dots,d$, let $y_j=a_{1j}\dots a_{sj}$. Then by Theorem 3.5, there exist $f_1,\dots,f_d\in G(\mathbf{I})_{\mathbf{e}}$ such that $f_1,\dots,f_d$ is $G(\mathcal{F})$-filter-regular sequence and $(y_1^*,\dots,y_d^*)=(f_1,\dots,f_d)G(\mathbf{I})$ where $y_j^*=y_j+\mathbf{I}^{2\mathbf{e}}$ is the image of y_j in $G(\mathbf{I})_{\mathbf{e}}$ for all $j=1,\dots,d$. Hence by Lemma 2.5, for all $\mathbf{n}\geq\mathbf{m}+d\mathbf{e}$, we have

$$H^0_{G(\mathcal{F})_{++}}(G(\mathcal{F})/(y_1^*,\dots,y_d^*)G(\mathcal{F}))_{\mathbf{n}}=H^0_{G(\mathcal{F})_{++}}(G(\mathcal{F})/(f_1,\dots,f_d)G(\mathcal{F}))_{\mathbf{n}}=0.$$

Since $G(\mathcal{F})/(y_1^*,\dots,y_d^*)G(\mathcal{F})$ is $G(\mathcal{F})_{++}$-torsion, for all $\mathbf{n}\geq\mathbf{m}+d\mathbf{e}$, we get

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{n})=(y_1,\dots,y_d)\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{e})+\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{e}).$$

Therefore by Lemma 2.6, for all $\mathbf{n} \geq \mathbf{m}+d\mathbf{e}$, we have $\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{n}) = (y_1, \ldots, y_d)\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{e})$. Hence $\mathbf{m}+(d-1)\mathbf{e} \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{A}_\mathcal{F}}(\mathcal{F})$. □

Remark 3.10. Note that if $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{F})$ is Cohen-Macaulay then $H^i_{\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{I})_{++}}(\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{F}))_\mathbf{n} = 0$ for all $0 \leq i \leq d-1$ and $\mathbf{n} \geq \mathbf{0}$ [14, Remark 3.4]. Let $I_1, \ldots, I_s$ be monomial ideals in $k[X_1, \ldots, X_d]$. Then By Hochster's Theorem [3, Theorem 6.3.5], $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{F})$ is Cohen-Macaulay where $\mathcal{F} = \{\overline{\mathbf{I}^\mathbf{n}}\}_{\mathbf{n}\in\mathbb{Z}^s}$.

As a consequence of Theorem 3.9, we obtain a result of Marley [9, Theorem 2], [10, Corollary 3.8] and Ooishi [13, Proposition 4.10].

Theorem 3.11. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a d-dimensional ($d \geq 1$) Cohen-Macaulay local ring with an infinite residue filed. Let I be an $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideal and $\mathcal{F} = \{I_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ be an I-admissible filtration such that* $\operatorname{depth} G(\mathcal{F})_+ \geq d-1$. *Then $r(\mathcal{F}) = n(\mathcal{F}) + d$.*

Proof. Suppose $\operatorname{depth} G(\mathcal{F})_+ = d$. Then $H^i_{G(I)_+}(G(\mathcal{F})) = 0$ for all $0 \leq i \leq d-1$. Now $s = 1$ implies $G'(\mathcal{F}) = G(\mathcal{F})$. Hence by the exact sequence (3.9.1), $H^i_{\mathcal{R}_+}(\mathcal{R}'(\mathcal{F})) = 0$ for all $0 \leq i \leq d-1$. Suppose $\operatorname{depth} G(\mathcal{F})_+ \geq d-1$. Then by [2, Proposistion 4.2.10], $\operatorname{depth}_{\mathcal{R}_+}(\mathcal{R}'(\mathcal{F})) = d$. Hence by the exact sequence (3.9.1), $H^i_{\mathcal{R}_+}(\mathcal{R}'(\mathcal{F})) = 0$ for all $0 \leq i \leq d-1$. Hence in both cases, $H^i_{\mathcal{R}_+}(\mathcal{R}'(\mathcal{F})) = 0$ for all $0 \leq i \leq d-1$. Now using the same procedure as in Theorem 3.9, we get the required result. □

Example 3.12. Let $R = k[|X, Y, Z|]$. Then R is a 3-dimensional regular local ring with unique maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m} = (X, Y, Z)$. Since R is regular local ring, $\mathfrak{m}$ is normal ideal. Let $I = \mathfrak{m}$ and $J = \mathfrak{m}^2$. Then $\mathcal{A} = \begin{pmatrix} X & Y & Z \\ X^2 & Y^2 & Z^2 \end{pmatrix}$ is a complete reduction for the filtration $\mathbf{I} = \{I^r J^s\}_{r,s\in\mathbb{Z}}$ and $(X^3, Y^3, Z^3)I^2J^2 = I^3J^3$. Since I, J are monomial ideals and integrally closed, by Hochster's theorem [3, Theorem 6.3.5], $\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{I})$ is Cohen-Macaulay and hence $H^i_{\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{I})_{++}}(\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{I}))_\mathbf{n} = 0$ for all $0 \leq i \leq 3$. Therefore by [8, Theorem 3.5], $P_\mathbf{I}(\mathbf{n}) = H_\mathbf{I}(\mathbf{n})$ for all $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^s$.

In the following example we show that we cannot drop the condition on $H^i_{\mathcal{R}_{++}}(\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{F}))_\mathbf{n}$ for all $0 \leq i \leq d-1$ in Theorem 3.9.

Example 3.13. Let $R = k[|X, Y|]$. Then R is a 2-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring with unique maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m} = (X, Y)$. Let $I = \mathfrak{m}^2$ and $J = (X^2, Y^2)$. Since $(X^4, Y^4)IJ = (X^4, Y^4)\mathfrak{m}^4 = \mathfrak{m}^8 = I^2J^2$, we have $\mathcal{A} = \begin{pmatrix} X^2 & Y^2 \\ X^2 & Y^2 \end{pmatrix}$ is a complete reduction for the filtration $\mathbf{I} = \{I^r J^s\}_{r,s\in\mathbb{Z}}$. Since $\overbrace{\mathbf{I}^{\mathbf{e_2}}} = \breve{J} = (I^k J^{1+k} : I^k J^k)$ for some large k, $JI = I^2$ and $\mathfrak{m}$ is parameter ideal, we have $\breve{J} = (I^{2k+1} : I^{2k}) = (\mathfrak{m}^{4k+2} : \mathfrak{m}^{4k}) = \mathfrak{m}^2 \neq J$ and hence by [12, Proposition 3.5] $H^1_{\mathcal{R}_{++}}(\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{I}))_{(0,1)} \neq 0$. Since $(X^4, Y^4)IJ = I^2J^2$, we get $\mathbf{e} \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{A}_\mathbf{I}}(\mathbf{I}.)$

For $n \geq 1$,

$$\lambda\left(\frac{R}{I^n}\right) = \lambda\left(\frac{R}{\mathfrak{m}^{2n}}\right) = \binom{2n+1}{2} = 4\binom{n+1}{2} - n = P_I(n),$$

Since J is parameter ideal and $JI = I^2$,

$$\lambda\left(\frac{R}{J^n}\right) = 4\binom{n+1}{2} = P_J(n),$$

$$\lambda\left(\frac{R}{(IJ)^n}\right) = \lambda\left(\frac{R}{\mathfrak{m}^{4n}}\right) = \binom{4n+1}{2} = 16\binom{n+1}{2} - 6n = P_{IJ}(n)$$

and

$$\lambda\left(\frac{R}{I^{2n}J^n}\right) = \lambda\left(\frac{R}{\mathfrak{m}^{6n}}\right) = \binom{6n+1}{2} = 36\binom{n+1}{2} - 15n = P_{I^2J}(n).$$

Hence $e_0(I) = e_0(J) = 4$. Now for large n, $P_{IJ}(n) = \lambda\left(\frac{R}{(IJ)^n}\right) = P_{\mathbf{I}}(n\mathbf{e})$ and $P_{I^2J}(n) = \lambda\left(\frac{R}{I^{2n}J^n}\right) = P_{\mathbf{I}}(2n, n)$. Comparing the coefficients on both sides we get

$$P_{\mathbf{I}}(r, s) = 4\binom{r+1}{2} + 4\binom{s+1}{2} + 4rs - r - s.$$

Then $P_{\mathbf{I}}(0, 1) = 3 \neq 4 = \lambda(R/J)$. Hence $(0, 0) \notin \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{I})$.

References

[1] P. B. Bhattacharya, *The Hilbert function of two ideals*, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **53** (1957), 568-575. 3

[2] C. Blancafort, *Hilbert functions: combinatorial and homological aspects*, Ph. D. Thesis, Universitat De Bercelona, (1997). 15

[3] W. Bruns and J. Herzog, *Cohen-Macaulay rings*, Cambridge studies in Advanced Mathematics, **39**, Cambridge University Press, 1993, 13H10 (13-02). 15

[4] M. Herrmann, E. Hyry, J. Ribbe and Z. Tang, *Reduction numbers and multiplicities of multigraded structures*, J. Algebra **197** (1997), 311-341. 5

[5] M. Johnson and B. Ulrich, *Artin-Nagata properties and Cohen-Macaulay associated graded rings*, Compositio Math., **103**, 1996, 7-29. 1, 8

[6] D. Kirby and D. Rees, *Multiplicities in graded rings I : The general theory*, Commutative algebra : syzygies, multiplicities, and birational algebra (W. J. Heinzer, C. L. Huneke and J. D. Sally, eds.), Contemp. Math., **159** (1994), 209-267. 3

[7] D. Maclagan and G. G. Smith, *Multigraded Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity*, J. Reine Angew. Math., **571** (2004), 179-212. 3

[8] P. Sarkar and J. K. Verma, *Local cohomology of multi-Rees algebras, Joint reduction numbers and product of complete ideals*, preprint. 8, 15

[9] T. Marley, *The coefficients of the Hilbert polynomial and the reduction number of an ideal*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **40** (1989), no. 1, 1-8. 8, 15

[10] T. Marley, *Hilbert functions of ideals in Cohen-Macaulay rings*, Ph.D. Thesis, Purdue University, (1989). 8, 15

[11] T. Marley, *The reduction number of an ideal and the local cohomology of the associated graded ring*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **117** (1993), 335-341. 1, 8

[12] S. K. Masuti, P. Sarkar and J. K. Verma, *Hilbert polynomials of multigraded filtrations of ideals*, J. Algebra, **444** (2015), 527-566. 6, 7, 9, 10, 12, 14, 15

[13] A. Ooishi, *Genera and arithmetic genera of commutative rings*, Hiroshima Math. J. **17** (1987), 47-66. 1, 8, 15

[14] Parangama Sarkar and J. K. Verma, *Local cohomology of multi-Rees algebras, Joint reduction numbers and product of complete ideals*, arXiv:1601.05615v2. 15

[15] D. Rees, *Generalizations of reductions and mixed multiplicities*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **29** (1984), 397-414. 2, 3, 12

[16] P. Samuel, *La notion de multiplicité en algèbre et en géométrie algébrique*, J. Math. Pures Appl. **30** (1951), 159-274. 2

[17] J. D. Sally, *Reductions, local cohomology and Hilbert functions of local rings*, Commutative Algebra : Durham 1981 (Durham 1981), pp. 231-241, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., 72, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge-New York, 1982. 8

[18] B. Teissier, *Cycles évanescents, sections planes et conditions de Whitney*, Astèrisque, 7-8, Soc. Math. France, Paris, (1973), 285-362. 3

[19] N. V. Trung, *Reduction exponent and degree bound for the defining equations of graded rings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **101** (1987), 229-236. 1

[20] N. V. Trung, *The Castelnuovo regularity of the Rees algebra and the associated graded ring*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **350** 1998, 2813-2832. 1, 8

Mathematics Department, Indian Institute of Technology Bombay, Mumbai, India 400076
E-mail address: parangama@math.iitb.ac.in